

Journal Pre-proof



Psychological Impact of COVID-19 in pregnant women

Gabriele Saccone, MD, Alessia Florio, MD, Federica Aiello, MD, Roberta Venturella, MD, Maria Chiara De Angelis, MD, Mariavittoria Locci, MD, Giuseppe Bifulco, MD, Fulvio Zullo, MD, Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo, MD

PII: S0002-9378(20)30527-5

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.05.003>

Reference: YMOB 13235

To appear in: *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

Received Date: 14 April 2020

Revised Date: 28 April 2020

Accepted Date: 4 May 2020

Please cite this article as: Saccone G, Florio A, Aiello F, Venturella R, De Angelis MC, Locci M, Bifulco G, Zullo F, Di Spiezio Sardo A, Psychological Impact of COVID-19 in pregnant women, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.05.003>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1 **Psychological Impact of COVID-19 in pregnant women**

2 Gabriele Saccone MD,¹ Alessia Florio MD,¹ Federica Aiello MD,¹ Roberta Venturella MD,²

3 Maria Chiara De Angelis MD,¹ Mariavittoria Locci MD,¹ Giuseppe Bifulco MD,¹ Fulvio Zullo

4 MD,^{1,2} Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo MD⁵

5 ¹Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, School of Medicine,

6 University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

7 ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, School of Medicine, Magna Graecia University of

8 Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy.

9 ³Department of Maternal and Child Health and Urological Sciences, Sapienza University of

10 Rome, Italy

11 ⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Local Health Unit of Avezzano-

12 Sulmona-L'Aquila, Sulmona, Italy

13 ⁵Department of Public Health, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

14 **Correspondence:** Gabriele Saccone, Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and

15 Dentistry, School of Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

16 **E-mail:** gabriele.saccone.1990@gmail.com

17 **Expanded Research Letter**

18

19 **Disclosure:** The authors report no conflict of interest

20 **Financial Support:** No specific funding was received for this study

21 **Short title:** Psychological impact of COVID-19 in pregnancy

22 **Key words:** coronavirus, influenza, maternal death, prematurity, infection

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 INTRODUCTION

35 The COVID-19 outbreak poses significant risk to public health, including mental health. A
36 survey conducted in China showed that 53.8% of the respondents rated the psychological impact
37 of the outbreak as moderate or severe, and 28.8% reported moderate to severe anxiety symptoms
38 and stress levels.¹ During pregnancy, women may experience stress and anxiety associated with
39 potential adverse obstetrical outcomes such as fetal death or fetal abnormalities. Stress and
40 anxiety level may also increase during infectious disease outbreaks. Currently, there is no known
41 information on the psychological impact, the effect on individual's social and/or psychological
42 aspects, and mental health of pregnant women during the COVID-19 epidemic.

43 The aim of this study was to survey pregnant women to evaluate psychological impact, and
44 anxiety during the COVID-19 outbreak.

45 METHODS

46 Study design

47 This was a cross-sectional survey study aimed to assess the psychological response of pregnant
48 women during the epidemic of COVID-19. The study was conducted at University of Naples
49 Federico II (Napoli, Italy), from March 15, 2020 to April 1, 2020. Women with singleton
50 pregnancies were eligible to participate in the study regardless of the gestational age.

51 After a written informed consent was obtained from the eligible participants, women were asked
52 by their counselor to fill out two questionnaires. A combination of validated measures in the
53 questionnaires were used to assess the psychological impact, and anxiety.

54 Psychological impact questionnaire

55 The psychological impact of COVID-19 was measured using an Italian version of the Impact of
56 Event Scale-Revised (IES-R). The IES-R is a 22-item self-administered questionnaire composed
57 of three subscales aimed to measure the mean avoidance, intrusion, and hyperarousal. Each item
58 can be scored from 0 to 4. Total score therefore can be ranged from 0 to 88, with higher scores
59 mean higher psychological impact. The total IES-R score was divided into 0-23 (normal), 24-32
60 (mild psychological impact), 33-36 (moderate psychological impact), and ≥ 37 (severe
61 psychological impact).²

62 Anxiety questionnaire

63 Anxiety of COVID-19 was measured by an Italian version of the six-item short form of the state
64 scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), as described by Marteau et al.³
65 Women can be scored on a scale of 20-80, where higher scores mean higher levels of anxiety. A
66 STAI score of 34-36 was considered normal anxiety.

67 Women were also asked to fill out the visual analogue scale (VAS) for anxiety.⁴ VAS for anxiety
68 ranged from 0 (not at all anxious) to 100 (extremely anxious) and referred to the following
69 question: *How anxious are you regarding the coronavirus epidemic and the possibility of*
70 *vertical transmission to your offspring?*

71 In order to evaluate the anxiety of pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak we also
72 assessed:

- 73 • Rate of cell-free DNA compared to combined screening as first-trimester screening test
74 (only in women who are enrolled in the first trimester of pregnancy)
- 75 • Mode of delivery and rate of cesarean delivery on maternal request (only in women who
76 delivered during the study period)

77 **Statistical analysis**

78 Univariate comparisons of dichotomous data were performed with the use of the chi-square with
79 continuity correction. Comparisons between groups to test group means with standard deviation
80 were performed with the use of the T-test by assuming equal within-group variances or with the
81 use of the One-way ANOVA. A 2-sided P value less than .05 was considered significant.
82 Questionnaires scores were also analyzed according to the gestational age at patient's
83 enrollment.

84 **RESULTS**

85 During the study period, 100 women were enrolled for the study. 17 were in the first, 35 in the
86 second, and 48 in the third trimester of pregnancies. None of the enrolled women had history of
87 postpartum depression in a prior pregnancy or of psychiatric disorders.

88 Overall, the COVID-19 outbreak had a moderate psychological impact on pregnant women with
89 a mean IES-R score of 36.9 ± 10.1 . More than half of the respondents (53/100, 53%) rated the
90 psychological impact as severe. Mean score at STAI questionnaire was 45.2 ± 14.6 , with an
91 overall incidence of STAI >36 of 68% (68/100). Mean score at VAS for anxiety for COVID-19
92 vertical transmission was 43.0 ± 26.9 , with an overall incidence of score >50 of 46% (46/100)
93 (Table 1).

94 Out of the 17 women who were in the first trimester of pregnancy during the COVID-19
95 outbreak, the 52.9% (9/17) opted for cell-free DNA as first-trimester risk assessment, while
96 41.7% (8/17) underwent combined screening with nuchal translucency.

97 Out of the 48 women enrolled in the third trimester of pregnancy, 18 delivered during the study
98 period. Of them, 10 women underwent vaginal delivery (55.6%), and 8 women (44.4%)
99 underwent cesarean delivery. Out of the 8 cesarean deliveries, 1 was planned breech delivery, 4
100 were emergency cesarean deliveries performed during labor, and 3 were planned cesarean
101 deliveries on maternal request.

102 Psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak was much severe in women in the first
103 trimester of pregnancy. Women who were in the first trimester of pregnancy reported
104 significantly higher mean STAI score, higher rate of STAI score >36 , higher mean score at VAS
105 for anxiety for COVID-19 vertical transmission, and higher rate of VAS score ≥ 50 (Table 2).

106 **DISCUSSION**

107 The aim of this cross-sectional survey study was to evaluate psychological impact and anxiety in
108 pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy using validated questionnaires.

109 The study showed that the COVID-19 outbreak had a moderate-to-severe psychological impact on
110 pregnant women. More than two third of the women also reported anxiety higher than the
111 normal. Almost half of the women (46%) reported high anxiety regarding the vertical
112 transmission of the disease, assessed as VAS for anxiety scored ≥ 50 . Sensitivity analyses
113 according to gestational age, showed that women in the first trimester of pregnancy during the

114 COVID-19 epidemic, had higher anxiety and much severe psychological impact compared to
115 those in the second or third trimester of pregnancy.

116 We also found that 52.9% of the women who were in the first trimester during the COVID-19
117 outbreak opted for the cell-free DNA as first-trimester risk assessment compared to the
118 combined screening. This quite high rate of non-invasive prenatal testing, may be explained by
119 the fact that first-trimester risk assessment for trisomy 21 with cell-free DNA is associated with
120 better maternal reassurance and less anxiety compared to the standard first-trimester combined
121 screening based on nuchal translucency. Out of the 18 women who delivered during the study
122 period, we reported a 16.7% rate of cesarean delivery on maternal request. This rate is
123 significantly higher compared to the 5-10% rate reported in the literature.⁵ Notably, anxiety for
124 fetal injury or fetal death, and emotional aspects, are two of main reasons for planned cesarean
125 delivery on maternal request.⁶ However, assessment of the rate of cell-free DNA and of mode of
126 delivery was limited to a very small subgroups according to gestational age at the time of study
127 period, and therefore the study was not powered for these two analyses.

128 Findings from the study were limited by the single center study design, and the small sample
129 size.

130 COVID-19 is spreading rapidly through Europe and North America. Governments have imposed
131 quarantines and travel bans on an unprecedented scale, with lock down of several cities. So far,
132 the COVID-19 has caused thousands of deaths around the globe. Fear and psychological impact
133 of the disease may be as harmful as the infection, with also suicidal cases reported.

134 Pregnancy is a well-known period of profound change. Adequate mental and physical health is a
135 protective factor for mood disorders, and for some women, pregnancy may increase vulnerability
136 to psychiatric disease like depression. Antenatal depression affects about 10% of women in the
137 developed countries, and the number of pregnant women prescribed antidepressants has
138 increased over the last decade⁷ Isolation, social distancing, and extreme changes in daily life may
139 increase the risk of depression among vulnerable population such as pregnant women. Therefore,
140 it is of paramount importance to assess the psychological impact of COVID-19 outbreak.

141 **Conclusion**

142 In summary, among pregnant women, more than half of the respondents rated the psychological
143 impact of COVID-19 outbreak as severe, and about two third reported anxiety higher than the
144 normal. Almost half of the women reported high anxiety regarding the vertical transmission of
145 the disease. Psychological impact and anxiety of the COVID-19 epidemic seems to be more
146 severe in women who are in the first trimester of pregnancy during the outbreak. Our findings
147 can be used to formulate psychological interventions to improve mental health and psychological
148 resilience during the COVID-19 epidemic.

149

150

151 **REFERENCES**

- 152 1. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, Ho RC. Immediate psychological
153 responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease

- 154 (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public*
 155 *Health*. 2020 Mar 6;17(5). pii: E1729. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729
- 156 2. Creamer M, Bell R, Failla S. Psychometric properties of the Impact of Event Scale-
 157 Revised *Behav Res Ther*. 2003, 41:1489–1496
- 158 3. Marteau TM, Bekker H. The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of
 159 the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1992
 160 *Sep*;31(3):301-6
- 161 4. Valerie SL Williams, Robert J Morlock, Douglas Feltner. Psychometric evaluation of a
 162 visual analog scale for the assessment of anxiety. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2010; 8:
 163 57. Published online 2010 Jun 8. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-8-57
- 164 5. Robson SJ1, Tan WS, Adeyemi A, Dear KB. Estimating the rate of cesarean section by
 165 maternal request: anonymous survey of obstetricians in Australia. *Birth*. 2009
 166 *Sep*;36(3):208-12
- 167 6. Jenabi E, Khazaei S, Bashirian S, Aghababaei S, Matinnia N. Reasons for elective
 168 cesarean section on maternal request: a systematic review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*.
 169 2019 Mar 8:1-6

170

171

172

173 **TABLES**

174

175 **Table 1.** Questionnaires results in the overall cohort

176

	N = 100
--	----------------

<i>Psychological impact</i>	
Mean IES-R	36.9±10.1
IES-R ≥ 24	95 (95%)
IES-R ≥ 33	61 (61%)
IES-R ≥ 37	53 (53%)
<i>Anxiety</i>	
Mean STAI	45.2±14.6
STAI >36	68 (68%)
Mean VAS-A	43.0±26.9
VAS-A ≥ 50	46 (46%)
<i>First-trimester screening test</i>	
Women who opted for cell-free DNA	9/17 (52.9%)
<i>Mode of delivery**</i>	
Vaginal delivery	10/18 (55.6%)
Cesarean delivery	8/18 (44.4%)
Cesarean delivery on maternal request	3/18 (16.7%)

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

Data are presented as number (percentage) or as mean ± standard deviation

IES-R, Impact of Event Scale-Revised; STAI, Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; VAS-A visual analogue scale for anxiety

**Only 17 women enrolled in the first trimester*

***Only 18 women who delivered in the study period*

Table 2. Questionnaires results according to gestational age at enrollment

	First-trimester N = 17	Second trimester N = 35	Third trimester N = 48	p-value

<i>Psychological impact</i>				
Mean IES-R	42.9±17.0	39.1±7.2	33.1±7.0	<0.01
IES-R ≥ 24	14 (82.4%)	33 (94.3%)	48 (100%)	0.06
IES-R ≥ 33	12 (70.6%)	29 (82.9%)	20 (41.7%)	0.03
IES-R ≥ 37	11 (64.7%)	26 (74.3%)	16 (33.3%)	0.01
<i>Anxiety</i>				
Mean STAI	58.7±16.8	44.0±12.5	41.4±12.5	<0.01
STAI >36	17 (100%)	22 (62.9%)	29 (60.4%)	<0.01
Mean VAS-A	65.9±25.2	45.9±25.6	32.8±23.0	<0.01
VAS-A ≥ 50	14 (82.4%)	20 (57.1%)	12 (25.0%)	<0.01

200

201 *Data are presented as number (percentage) or as mean ± standard deviation*202 *Boldface data, statistically significant*203 *IES-R, Impact of Event Scale-Revised; STAI, Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; VAS-A visual*204 *analogue scale for anxiety*

205

206